## Proposal No.

17-114

ISSC
SANTATION CONFERENCE

## Proposal for Task Force Consideration at the ISSC 2017 Biennial Meeting

$\boxtimes$	Growing Area
	Harvesting/Han
	Administrative

a.

b.

ndling/Distribution

	c. 🗆 Administrative
Submitter	U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
Affiliation	FDA
Address Line 1	5001 Campus Drive
Address Line 2	HFS-325
City, State, Zip	College Park, MD 20740
Phone	240-402-1401
Fax	301-436-2601
Email	Melissa.abbott@fda.hhs.gov
Proposal Subject	National Shellfish Sanitation Program Quality System - Laboratory Evaluation Checklist
Specific NSSP	Section II Model Ordinance - Chapter I Shellfish Sanitation Program @.03
Guide Reference	Evaluation of Shellfish Sanitation Program Elements
	Section IV Guidance Documents Chapter II Growing Areas 15 Evaluation of
	Laboratories by State Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Officers Including
	Laboratory Evaluation Checklists
Text of Proposal/	Section II Model Ordinance - Chapter I Shellfish Sanitation Program @.03
Requested Action	Evaluation of Shellfish Sanitation Program Elements
1	B. Criteria for evaluation of shellfish sanitation program elements shall be as
	follows:
	1. Laboratory
	a. Requirements for evaluation of shellfish laboratories shall include at a
	minimum:
	i. Records audit of laboratory operations: both Quality Systems
	and Technical methods;
	ii. Direct observation of current laboratory operating conditions;
	allu
	sources concerning laboratory operations
	b I aboratory status is determined by the number and types of
	nonconformities found in the evaluation using NSSP standardized criteria
	contained in the FDA Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Checklists found in
	the Guidance Documents Chapter II Growing Areas 15 Evaluation of
	Laboratories by State Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Officers Including
	Laboratory Evaluation Checklists.
	i. Ouality System Evaluation.
	(a) This checklist includes a conforming and
	nonconforming status only. All nonconformities must be
	reconciled prior to scheduling an onsite evaluation of
	technical methods in NSSP laboratories. As this part of
	the evaluation specifically refers to the Quality manual
	and SOPs and other documentation considered the basis
	for data defensibility, this documentation must be in order
	prior to further LEO scheduling. The Quality Systems
	evaluation is performed as a desk audit and is in
	accordance with checklist found in Chapter II.

Proposal No.

17-114

i. <u>ii. Technical Evaluation</u> : Conforms. In order to achieve or
maintain conform <u>sing</u> status under the NSSP, a laboratory must
meet the following laboratory evaluation criteria:
<u>_ii(a)</u> No critical nonconformities in the microbiological or
marine Biotoxin component under evaluation have been
identified using the appropriate FDA Shellfish Laboratory
Evaluation Checklist; and
iii(b) Not more than thirteen (13) key nonconformities in
the microbiological component or six (6) in the marine
Biotoxin components have been identified using the
appropriate FDA Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation
Checklist: and
$\frac{iv}{iv}$ (c) Not more than eighteen (18) critical, key, and other
nonconformities in total in the microbiological component
twelve (12) critical key and other nonconformities in total
for the PSP component, or ten (10) critical key and other
nonconformities in total for the NSP component have been
identified using the appropriate FDA Shellfish I aboratory
Evaluation Chacklist. This number must not exceed the
numerical limits established for either the critical or key
criteria: and
$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{d})$ No repeat key nonconformities have been identified
$\frac{1}{4}$ is the microhiological or maxima Diotoxin component
in the iniciobiological of marine biotoxin component
under evaluation in consecutive evaluations using the
Cheat list
Cliccklist.
deemed provisionally conforming under the NSSD, a laboratory must
meet the following loboratory avaluation aritaria
i (a) Not more then three (2) critical nonconformities in
$\pm \frac{1}{4}$ Not more than three (5) critical holicomorphiles in the microbiological component four (4) in the DSD
the iniciolological component, four (4) in the PSP
component, of three (5) in the NSP component have been
Exploring the appropriate FDA Shellish Laboratory
Evaluation Checklist; and $\frac{1}{2}$ have non-conformities in
$\frac{\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{O})}{\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{O})}$ Not more than uniteen (15) key nonconformaties in
Distantia component or six (6) in the marine
Biotoxin component nave been identified using the
appropriate FDA Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation
Uneckilst; and $(12)$ with a single for $(12)$ with a large state of the second state
<u></u> Not more than eighteen (18) critical, key and other
nonconformities in total in the microbiological component,
or twelve (12) critical, key and other nonconformities in
total in the PSP component or ten (10) critical, key and
other nonconformities in total in the NSP component have
been identified using the appropriate FDA Shellfish
Laboratory Evaluation Checklist. This number must not
exceed the numerical limits established for either the
critical or key criteria; and
$\underline{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{d})}$ Not more than one (1) repeat key nonconformity has
been identified in the microbiological or marine Biotoxin
component under evaluation in consecutive evaluations

Proposal No.
--------------

## 17-114

using the appropriate EDA Shellfish Laboratory Checklist
d iv Technical Evaluation: Nonconformance. When a laboratory
avecade the following aritaria, it will be determined to be in
exceeds the following criteria, it will be determined to be in
nonconformance:
$\frac{1}{(a)}$ More than three (3) critical nonconformities in the
microbiological component or four (4) in the PSP
component, or three (3) in the NSP component have been
identified using the appropriate FDA Shellfish Laboratory
Checklist; or
ii:(b) More than thirteen (13) key nonconformities in the
microbiological component or six (6) in the marine
Biotoxin component have been identified using the
appropriate FDA Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation
Checklist
iii (a) More then eighteen (19) emitical lass and other
$\frac{\text{H}_{\underline{c}}}{\text{H}_{\underline{c}}}$ More than eigneen (18) chucal, key, and other
nonconformities in total in the microbiological component,
or more than twelve (12) critical, key and other
nonconformities in total in the PSP component, or more
than ten (10) critical, key, and other nonconformities in total
in the NSP component have been identified using the
appropriate FDA Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Checklist;
or
$iv_{a}(d)$ One (1) or more repeat critical or two (2) or more
repeat key nonconformities have been identified in
consecutive evaluations in either the microbiological or
marine Biotoxin components using the appropriate FDA
Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Checklist
-c Corrective Actions for Conforming Status A laboratory found to be in
conforming status for either the microbiological or marine Diotovin
control initial status for both components technical checklists, other than the
Component of for both components technical checknists, other than the
Quality Systems checklist, has up to ninety (90) days to successfully correct
all nonconformities noted in each component evaluated or has an approved
action plan in place to deal with the nonconformities noted. After this
period, the laboratory's status will be downgraded to nonconforming if any
key nonconformities remain to be successfully corrected. As a result, data
being generated by the laboratory will no longer be acceptable for use in
support of the NSSP for the laboratory component in question.
f. <u>d. Corrective Actions for</u> Provisionally Conformsing Status. A laboratory
found to be in provisionally conforming status for either the microbiological
or marine Biotoxin component or for both components technical methods
checklists has up to sixty (60) days to successfully correct all
nonconformities found in each provisionally conforming component
evaluated or has an approved action plan in place to deal with the
nonconformities noted. After this period, the laboratory will be assigned the
following status for the laboratory component(s) in question:
i Conforms if all the aritical and key nonconformitics have been
n. Comornis n'an ule critical and key noncomorninues nave been
successfully corrected in each provisionally conforming component
evaluated; or
11. Nonconforming if any critical or key nonconformities remain to be
successfully corrected in each provisionally conforming component
evaluate, or if the lab is not able to be evaluated because of a nonconforming

Proposal No.

17-1	14
------	----

	Quality System. As a result, data being generated by the laboratory will no
	longer be acceptable for use in support of the NSSP for the laboratory
	component in question.
	<del>g <u>e</u>.</del> Nonconformance.
	i. Upon a determination of nonconforming status in any of the either
	the microbiological or marine Biotoxin component or in both technical
	method_components, the laboratory has up to thirty (30) days to
	demonstrate successful correction of all nonconformities found. After
	this period, if all critical and key nonconformities have been
	successfully corrected, the status of the laboratory will be upgraded to
	conforming for the laboratory component(s) in question. However, if
	any critical or key nonconformities remain to be successfully
	corrected, the status of the laboratory for the laboratory component(s)
	in question will continue to be nonconforming; and as a result, data
	being generated by the laboratory for this/these laboratory components
	ii. Upon a determination of nonconformance for the Quality Systems
	and a determination of honcomornance for the Quanty Systems
	quality system prior to the onsite technical evaluation. Once all
	nonconformities are reconciled successfully a technical evaluation for
	NSSP methods using the appropriate method specific FDA Shellfish
	Laboratory Evaluation Checklist will be scheduled with the
	laboratory.
	iiii. When a laboratory is found to be nonconforming in either the
	microbiological or marine Biotoxin technical or quality component or
	in both components for failure to successfully implement the required
	corrective action, or for having repeated critical or key
	nonconformities in consecutive evaluations, the Authority will ensure
	that an action plan is developed to correct the situation in an
	acceptable and expeditious manner or discontinue use of the
	laboratory to support the NSSP.
	iii. For each laboratory component evaluated, the laboratory will be
	reevaluated either on-site or through a thorough desk audit as
	determined by the FDA Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Officer and
	the FDA certified State Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Officer if one
	is utilized by the State. Only a finding of fully conforming in
	laboratories whose data has ceased to be acceptable to the NSSP will
	restore its acceptability for use in the NSSP for the laboratory
	components in question.
	Section IV Guidance Documents Chapter II Growing Areas 15 Evaluation of
	Laboratories by State Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Officers Including
	Laboratory Evaluation Checklists
	The requested action is to adopt the text of the attached checklist for the Quality
	System of NSSP Laboratories and to append the checklist to the list of NSSP
	Laboratory Evaluation Checklists at the end of .15 Evaluation of Laboratories by
	State Shellfish Laboratory Evaluation Officers Including Laboratory Evaluation
	Checklists.
Public Health	A Quality System is critical to the successful defense of laboratory data. A
Significance	defensible laboratory quality results in data accuracy, reliability, and minimization
	of laboratory errors. Laboratory quality assurance operations must be reliable, and

	quality control well documented. The management of the system is critical to its success to ensure it is maintained. Without oversight and documentation of the steps a laboratory takes to ensure the highest level of laboratory quality management, the data generates is indefensible. Whether the data is challenged in a court of law or during an audit for customer or quality, a Quality System provides a level of assurance upon which data can be relied. Additionally, with time and resources for State and Federal Programs at premium, Quality Systems are an element that can successfully be evaluated remotely and ensure laboratories have continued contact with Federal partners. Once quality system essentials are in place, an onsite audit may proceed; thus, resources are conserved and laboratories are fully prepared. NSSP laboratories are producing excellent data and must be as defensible as laboratories held to accreditation standards.
	Currently, there is no checklist adopted by the ISSC and no standardized evaluation method for the NSSP to determine defensibility of the Quality System adopted by the NSSP. The attached checklist provides the metric by which laboratory evaluation officers will evaluate quality management, quality assurance and quality control elements of NSSP laboratory Quality Systems. The checklist documents whether items are present or not present, noting the labs conformance or nonconformity. If the lab fails to maintain a quality system an onsite evaluation will not be scheduled until such time as the nonconformities are rectified.
Cost Information	There will not be an additional immediate cost as this would be the first step in the routine triennial evaluation cycle.