17-122

Proposal for	Task Force Consideration a. ⊠ Growing Area
MATTATION CONFERENCE at the ISSC 2	2017 Biennial Meeting b.
	$c \square Administrative$
Submitter	ISSC Executive Office
Affiliation	Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference
Address Line 1	209 Dawson Road
Address Line 2	Suite 1
City, State, Zip	Columbia, SC 29223-1740
Phone	803-788-7559
Fax	803-788-7576
Email	issc@issc.org
Proposal Subject	Marine Biotoxin Control
Specific NSSP	Section II. Model Ordinance
Guide Reference	Chapter II. Risk Assessment and Risk Management @.01 A.
	Chapter IV. Shellstock Growing Area @.04
Text of Proposal/	Section II. Model Ordinance
Requested Action	
	Chapter II. Risk Assessment and Risk Management
	@.01 Outbreaks of Shellfish-Related Illness.
	 A. When shellfish are implicated in an illness outbreak involving two (2) or more persons not from the same household (or one or more persons in the case of paralytic shellfishshellfish toxicity poisoning associated with marine biotoxins [PSP]), the Authority shall determine whether an epidemiological association exists between the illness and the shellfish consumption by reviewing: (1) Each consumer's food history; (2) Shellfish handling practices by the consumer and/or retailer; (3) Whether the disease has the potential or is known to be transmitted by shellfish; and (4) Whether the symptoms and incubation period of the illnesses are consistent with the suspected etiologic agent. Chapter IV. Shellstock Growing Areas Management @.04 Marine Biotoxin Control.
	 A. Contingency Plan. (1) The Authority shall develop and adopt a marine Biotoxin contingency plan for all marine and estuarine shellfish growing areas addressing the management of PSP, ASP, NSP, DSP and AZP in the event of the emergence of a toxin-producing phytoplankton that has not historically occurred or an illness outbreak caused by marine biotoxins. (2) The plan shall define the administrative procedures and resources necessary to accomplish the following:
	(b) Close growing areas and embargo shellfish:
	(c) Prevent harvesting of contaminated species:
	(d) Provide for product recall;

17-122

 (e) Disseminate information on the occurrences of toxic algal blooms and/or toxicity in shellfish meats to adjacent states, shellfish industry, and local health agencies; and (f) Coordinate control actions taken by Authorities and federal agencies; and- (g) Establish reopening criteria including the number of samples over what period of time.
(3) Except that the Authority shall classify as prohibited any growing areas where shellfish are so highly or frequently affected by marine Biotoxins that the situation cannot be safety managed, the presence of marine Biotoxins shall not affect the classification of the shellfish growing area under Section @ .03. The Authority may use the conditionally approved classification for areas affected by marine Biotoxins.
 (4) The plan may include agreements or memoranda of understanding, between the Authority and individual shellfish harvesters or individual shellfish dealers, to allow harvesting in designated parts of a State growing area while other parts of the same growing area are placed in the closed status. Such controlled harvesting shall be conducted with strict assurances of safety. In State growing areas or designated portions of State growing waters that are closed, the Authority may allow for harvesting if an end product testing program is developed and samples of each lot are tested and found to be below the action levels specified in Section C. The program must include at a minimum: (a) Establishment of appropriate pre-harvest screening levels;
 (b) Establishment of appropriate screening and end product testing methods; (c) Establishment of appropriate laboratories/analysts to conduct screening and end product testing methods; (d) Establishment of representative sampling plan for both (a) and (b) above; and (e) Other controls as necessary to ensure that shellstock are not released prior to meeting all requirements of the program.
(5) Prior to allowing the landing of shellfish harvested from federal waters closed due to periodic toxic algal blooms associated with PSP, and where routine monitoring of saxitoxin levels is not conducted, the State Authority in the landing State, in cooperation with appropriate Federal agencies, shall develop agreements or memoranda of understanding between the Authority and individual shellfish harvesters or individual shellfish dealers. The agreements or memoranda of understanding shall provide strict safety assurances. At a minimum agreements or memoranda of understanding shall include provisions for:
 (a) Harvest permit requirements. (b) Training for individuals conducting onboard toxicity screening using NSSP methods. (c) Vessel monitoring; (d) Identification of shellfish for each harvesting trip to include:

17-122

 (i) Vessel name and owner
(i) Captain's name
(iii) Person conducting onboard screening tests
(iv) Port of departure name and date
(v) Port of landing name and date
(v) I stitude and longitude coordinates of designated harvest
(vi) Latitude and longitude coordinates of designated harvest
dica (vii) Onboard corponing test results
(viii) Volume and anapies of shallfish horwested
(viii) volume and species of shemisin harvested
(ix) Intended processing facility name, address and certification
(x) Captain s signature and date
(e) Pre-harvested (onboard) sampling that includes a minimum
of five (5) samples from the intended harvest area be tested for
saxitoxins. Harvesting shall not be permitted if any of the pre-
harvested samples contain saxitoxin levels in excess of 44
$\mu g/100$ g when using a quantitative test or a positive at a limit of
detection of 40 μ g/100 g for the qualitative screening test.
(f) Submittal of onboard screening homogenates and test results
to the authority in the state
of landing.
(g) The collection and saxitoxin level testing of a minimum of
seven (7) dockside samples.
The SSCA may require more samples based on the size of the
vessel and the volume of shellfish harvested.
(h) Holding and providing separation until dockside samples
verify that saxitoxin levels are
below 80 μg/100 g.
(i) Disposal of shellfish should dockside test results exceed 80
μg /100 g.
(j) Notification prior to unloading.
(k) Unloading schedule.
(1) Access for Dockside Sampling. (m) Record Keeping.
(n) Early Warning/Alert System.
NOTE: The plan may include other requirements, as deemed necessary by
the authority in the state of landing, to ensure adequate public health protection
under the NSSP.
P. Marina Biotovin Monitoring Management Dian
<u>B</u> . Marine Biotoxin Monitoring Management Plan.
In those areas that have been implicated in an illness outbreak or
where toxin-producingforming phytoplankton-organisms are known to
occur periodically and the toxins are prone to accumulate in shellfish,
and when appropriate at those times when marine B <u>b</u> iotoxins can be
reasonably predicted to occur, representative samples of the water <u>may</u>
be collected and/or shellfish shall be collected during harvest periods.
The samples shall be collected from indicator stations at intervals
determined by the Authority. Water samples willmay be assayed for the
presence of toxin-producingforming organisms phytoplankton and
shellfish meat samples shall be assayed for the presence of toxins.

Proposal No.	
--------------	--

(1) The Authority shall develop and adopt a marine biotoxin
management plan for all marine and estuarine shellfish growing
areas if there is a history of biotoxin closures related to PSP. ASP.
NSP, DSP, or AZP; if toxin-producing phytoplankton are known to
occur in the growing area: or a reasonable likelihood that hiotoxin
closures could occur
(2) The plan shall define the administrative presedures and
(2) The plan shall define the administrative procedures and
resources necessary to accomplish the following:
(a) Maintain a routine sneiffish sampling and assay program
<u>including;</u>
1. Establishment of appropriate shellfish screening levels;
ii. Establishment of appropriate shellfish screening and
testing methods;
iii. Establishment of appropriate laboratories/analysts to
conduct shellfish screening and testing methods;
iv. Establishment of a sampling plan for both (i) and (ii)
above; and
v. Other controls as necessary to ensure that shellstock are
not harvested when levels of marine biotoxins meet or
exceed the established criteria in Section C.
(b) Close growing areas and embargo shellfish:
(c) Prevent harvesting of contaminated species:
(d) Provide for product recall:
(a) Disseminate information on the occurrences of toxic algal
blooms and/or toxicity in shellfish meats to adjacent states
shallfish industry and local health agencies:
(f) Coordinate control actions taken by Authorities and federal
(1) Cool diffact control actions taken by Authorities and rederat
agencies, and
(g) Establish reopening citteria.
(3) The Authority may use precautionary closures based on screening
or water sample results as defined in their marine biotoxin
management program. Precautionary closures may be lifted
immediately if confirmatory testing using an approved method shows
toxin-producing phytoplankton in the growing waters and/or the
level of biotoxin present in shellfish meats are not equal to or above
established criteria in Section C.
(4) Except that the Authority shall classify as prohibited any
growing areas where shellfish are so highly or frequently affected
by marine biotoxins or so remote that adequate sampling
cannot be achieved and thus the situation cannot be safety
managed, the presence of marine biotoxins shall not affect the
classification of the shellfish growing area under Section
@ .03. The Authority may use the conditionally approved
classification for areas affected by marine biotoxins.
(5) The plan may include agreements or memoranda of
understanding, between the Authority and individual shellfish
harvesters or individual shellfish dealers, to allow harvesting in
designated parts of a State growing area while other parts of the

same growing area are placed in the closed status. Such controlled
harvesting shall be conducted with strict assurances of safety. In
State growing areas or designated portions of State growing waters
that are closed, the Authority may allow for harvesting if an end
product testing program is developed and samples of each lot are
tested and found to be below the action levels specified in Section C.
The program must include at a minimum:
(a) Establishment of appropriate pre-harvest screening levels;
(b) Establishment of appropriate screening and end product
testing methods;
(c) Establishment of appropriate laboratories/analysts to
conduct screening and end product testing methods;
(d) Establishment of representative sampling plan for both (a)
and (b) above;
(e) Disposal of shellfish should end product test results meet or
exceed established criteria specified in Section C
(f) Other controls as necessary to ensure that shellstock are not
released prior to meeting all requirements of the program
released prior to meeting an requirements of the program.
(6) Prior to allowing the landing of shellfish harvested from
federal waters closed due to periodic toxic algal blooms
associated with PSP and where routine monitoring of savitavin
levels is not conducted the State Authority in the landing State in
cooperation with appropriate Federal agencies, shall develop
agreements or memorende of understanding between the Authority
agreements of memoranda of understanding between the Authority
and individual shellinsh harvesters or individual shellinsh dealers.
The agreements or memoranda of understanding shall provide strict
safety assurances. At a minimum agreements or memoranda of
understanding shall include provisions for:
(a) Harvest permit requirements.
(b) Training for individuals conducting onboard toxicity
screening using NSSP methods.
(c) Vessel monitoring;
(d) Identification of shellfish for each harvesting trip to include:
(1) Vessel name and owner
(11) Captain's name
(iii) Person conducting onboard screening tests
(iv) Port of departure name and date
(v) Port of landing name and date
(vi) Latitude and longitude coordinates of designated harvest
area
(vii) Onboard screening test results
(viii)Volume and species of shellfish harvested
(ix) Intended processing facility name, address and
certification number
(x) Captain's signature and date
(e) Pre-harvested (onboard) sampling that includes a minimum
of five (5) samples from the intended harvest area be tested for
saxitoxins. Harvesting shall not be permitted if any of the pre-
harvested samples contain saxitoxin levels in excess of 44
$\mu g/100$ g when using a quantitative test or a positive at a limit of
detection of 40 μ g/100 g for the qualitative screening test.

(f) Submittal of onboard screening homogenates and test results
to the authority in the state
<u>of landing.</u>
(g) The collection and saxitoxin level testing of a minimum of
seven (7) dockside samples.
The SSCA may require more samples based on the size of the
vessel and the volume of shellfish harvested.
(h) Holding and providing separation until dockside samples
verify that saxitoxin levels are
<u>below 80 μg/100 g.</u>
(i) Disposal of shellfish should dockside test results exceed 80
<u>μg /100 g.</u>
(j) Notification prior to unloading.
(k) Unloading schedule.
(1) Access for Dockside Sampling.
(m) Record Keeping.
(n) Early Warning/Alert System.
NOTE: The plan may include other requirements, as deemed necessary by
the authority in the state of landing, to ensure adequate public health protection
under the NSSP.
C. Closed Status of Growing Areas.
(1) A growing area, or portion(s) thereof as provided in Section A.(4),
shall be placed in the closed status for the taking of shellstock when the
Authority determines that the number of toxin-forming organisms in the
growing waters and/or the level of Biotoxin present in shellfish meats is
sufficient to cause a health risk. The closed status shall be established
based on the following criteria:
(a) PSP - cells/L n/a; 80 μ <u>g saxitoxin equivalents</u> /100 grams
(b) NSP - 5,000 cells/L or 20 MU/100 grams (0.8 mg brevetoxin-2)
equivalents/kg)
(c) AZP - cells/L n/a; 0.16 mg azaspiracid-1 (AZA-1) equivalents/kg
(0.16 ppm)
(d) DSP – $\frac{\text{cells}}{L n/a}$; 0.16 mg okadaic acid (OA) equivalents/kg
(0.16 ppm)
(e) ASP - cells/L n/a; 2 mg domoic acid/100 grams (20 ppm)
(t) The concentration of paralytic shellfish poison (PSP) equals or
exceeds 80 µg per 100 g of edible portion of raw shellfish; or
(g) For neurotoxic shellfish poisoning (NSP), the harvesting of
shellstock shall not be allowed
when:
(1) The concentration of NSP equals or exceeds 20 mouse units
per 100 grams of edible portion of raw shellfish; or
(11) The cell counts for <i>Karenia brevis</i> organisms in the water
column exceed 5,000 per liter; or
(h) For domoic acid, the toxin concentration shall not be equal to
or exceed 20 ppm in the
edible portion of raw shellfish.
(1) For azaspiracid shellfish poisoning (AZP), the concentration of
azaspiracids shall not be equal to or exceed 0.16 mg/kg (AZA-1
equiv.) in the edible portion of raw shellfish.

Proposal No.

(j) For diarrhetic shellfish poisoning (DSP), the concentration of DSP toxins shall not be equal
to or exceed 0.16 mg/kg (OA equiv.) in the edible portion of raw shellfish.
(2) For any marine Biotoxin producing organism for which criteria have not been established under this Ordinance, either cell counts in the water column or Biotoxin meat concentrations may be used by the Authority as the criteria for not allowing the harvest of shellstock.
(3) When sufficient data exist to establish that certain shellfish species can be safely exempted from the marine <u>Bb</u> iotoxin <u>management</u> contingency plan, the closed status for harvesting may be applied selectively to some shellfish species and not others.
(4) The closed status shall remain in effect until the Authority has data to show that the toxin content of the shellfish in the growing area is below the level established for closing the area.
(5) The determination to return a growing area to the open status shall consider whether toxin levels in the shellfish from adjacent areas are declining.
(6) The analysis upon which a decision to return a growing area to the open status is based shall be adequately documented.
D. Heat Processing. If heat processing is practiced, a control procedure shall be developed. This procedure shall define the following:(1) Toxicity limits for processing;
(2) Controls for harvesting and transporting the shellstock to processor;(3) Special marking for unprocessed shellstock;
(4) Scheduled processes; and
(5) End product controls on the processed shellfish.
E. Records. The Authority shall maintain a copy of all of the following records.
(1) All information, including monitoring data, relating to the levels of marine Biotoxins in the shellfish growing areas;
(2) Copies of notices placing growing areas in the closed status;
(3) Evaluation reports; and
(4) Copies of notices returning growing areas to the open status.

Public Health	In response to the ISSC 2015 Summary of Actions, the USFDA requested the
Significance	ISSC and FDA begin discussion regarding establishment of minimum requirements
	for sample collection and analysis for safely reopening areas following Biotoxin
	closures. This effort should include examination of existing practices and the level
	of safety they provide.
	In response to this request, the ISSC Executive Board agreed to host a Biotoxin
	meeting to discuss the Biotoxin issues listed above. States that are frequently
	involved in Biotoxin closures and reopenings were invited to discuss present state
	efforts to implement the NSSP Model Ordinance requirements for biotoxin
	management. The participants agreed that changes should be made to the Model
	Ordinance and existing biotoxin guidance. These proposed changes were provided
	to the Biotoxin Committee for comments. This proposal reflects the
	recommendation developed from that review process.
Cost Information	