Proposal No. 13-301

| Proposal for Task Force Consideration at the ISSC 2017 Biennial Meeting | | □ Growing Area □ Harvesting/Handling/Distribution ⋈ Administrative |
|---|---|--|
| Submitter | ISSC Executive Office | |
| Affiliation | Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference | |
| Address Line 1 | 209 Dawson Road | |
| Address Line 2 | Suite 1 | |
| City, State, Zip | Columbia, SC 29223-1740 | |
| Phone | 803-788-7559 | |
| Fax | 803-788-7576 | |
| Email | issc@issc.org | |
| Proposal Subject | Growing Area Classification Criteria | |
| Specific NSSP Guide Reference | To Be Determined | |
| Text of Proposal/ Requested Action | The ISSC has adopted evaluation criteria for several program elements within the NSSP. These include laboratories, plant sanitation, and patrol. The development of these criteria has seemed to provide a better understanding of expectations, improve uniformity in State evaluations and enhance compliance. The ISSC should expand its evaluation criteria efforts to include growing area classification. Most illnesses associated with molluscan shellfish can be traced to problems associated with growing area classification. Although more complex, this element of the program could benefit from the development of evaluation criteria. The purpose of this proposal is to request the Evaluation Criteria Committee be charged with the task of developing evaluation criteria for the growing area element. | |
| Public Health Significance | Growing area classification criteria will enhance State classification efforts and ensure a high level of uniformity and effectiveness in FDA evaluations. | |
| Cost Information | | |
| Action by 2013 Task Force III | The submitter of Proposal 13-301 requested that the following sentence be deleted from the proposal. | |
| | Most illnesses associated with mollus with growing area classification. | sean shellfish can be traced to problems associated |
| | The Task Force recommended adopt requested by the submitter. | tion of Proposal 13-301 with the amendment as |
| Action by 2013 General Assembly | Adopted recommendation of 2013 Task Force III on Proposal 13-301. | |
| Action by FDA May 5, 2014 | Concurred with Conference action on Proposal 13-301. | |
| Action by 2015 NSSP Evaluation Criteria Committee | Recommended: 1) The following criteria be used in evaluating the State Growing Area classification element | |

- 1. Written Sanitary Survey
 - (A) Is there a written Sanitary Survey for each growing area that is classified other than prohibited?
 - (B) Is the Sanitary Survey complete?
 - A. Executive Summary
 - B. Description of Growing Area
 - C. Pollution Source Survey
 - D. Hydrographic and Meteorological Characteristics
 - E. Water Quality Studies
 - F. Interpretation of Data in Determining Classification to Be Assigned to Growing Area: A discussion of how actual or potential pollution sources, wind, tide, rainfall, etc. affect or may affect water quality, that will address the following:
 - G. Conclusions
 - (C) Is the Sanitary Survey current?
 - A. Annual
 - B. Triennial
 - C. 12 Year)
- 2. Shoreline Survey
 - (A) Does Shoreline Survey include identification and evaluation of all actual and potential sources of pollution
 - (B) Does Shoreline Survey include boundaries?
 - (C) Does Shoreline Survey include unique designation?
 - (D) Does Shoreline Survey include required maps?
 - (E) Does Shoreline Survey include a summary of survey findings?
- 3. Adequate Sampling
 - (A) Are the number and location of sampling stations adequate to effectively evaluate all pollution sources.
 - (B) Were adequate samples collected for each area consistent with the classification and type of sampling approach used (i.e. Remote, Adverse Pollution, Systematic Random Sampling)?
 - (C) Were samples collected under appropriate conditions consistent with the type of sampling approach?
- 4. Data to support Classification
 - (A) The assigned classifications are based on data/information supporting the classification and performance standards?
 - (B) Is appropriate data/information available to support the classification within each designated growing area?
- 5. Proper Classification
 - (A) Are all growing areas properly classified?
 - (B) Does SSCA have appropriate MOU(s) with appropriate parties for each area classified as conditional?

| Proposal No. | 13-301 |
|--------------|--------|

| | The subcommittee will develop a scoring system which assigns appropriate significance to the criteria and establishes compliance standards which can be used to assign compliance designations as outlined in the other NSS elements. Field testing of the complete evaluation criteria including compliance designation will be field tested in one state in each ISSC region. The results will be reviewed by the NSSP Evaluation Committee, modified as appropriate and presented to the ISSC as a proposal. | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Action by 2015 Task Force III | Recommended adoption of the NSSP Evaluation Criteria Committee recommendations on | |
| rask Porce III | Proposal 13-301. | |
| Action by 2015 | Adopted recommendation of Task Force III on Proposal 13-301. | |
| General Assembly | | |
| Action by FDA | Concurred with Conference action on Proposal 13-301. | |
| January 11, 2016 | | |